

SECRET

A. EICHMANN

[ ]

17 March 1961

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Evaluation of EICHMANN Documents

1. [ ] of CI/R&A examined Bundle No. 4 of the EICHMANN documents which [ ] asked us to check. After close scrutiny, he concluded that Bundle No. 4 was probably a false document for the following reasons:

- a. To the best of [ ] knowledge, he has never seen anything to indicate that EICHMANN had been concerned with Weltanschauliche Gegner. Yet he is listed as Chief of this Section (4-a).
- b. By 1944, and probably earlier, the Germans had exhausted their supply of official paper which gave the name of the office in print across the top of the page. They then began typing the name of the office in the upper left-hand corner of the page. Furthermore, he has never seen a German document which gives the subject and section in the center of the page above the name of the office.  
Zum Beispiel:

Organization-Plan  
Abt. IV

Reichsicherheitshauptamt

SECRET

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCE METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2B  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2000 2005

SECRET

The equivalent would be:

Organizational Plan  
WE Division

Central Intelligence Agency.

- c. On the last page, last paragraph, the past tense wurden is used instead of werden which reads agents "were sent" instead of "are being sent". The last paragraph is also narrative as opposed to the other sections which are descriptive.
  - d. Since the Leiter of this section in the last paragraph, which is dubious, is HALMANSEGER, [ ] thinks the East Germans may have HALMANSEGER as a target. Therefore, name traces should be run on him, as well as all the other names, in order to determine why the East Germans would have used this document if it is not a true copy.
2. [ ] does not have the time to search the Archives, so the documents will be returned to [ ] who will try to verify their authenticity in Alexandria as he did in an earlier, similar situation.

SECRET